



**COURT OF CHANCERY
OF THE
STATE OF DELAWARE**

WILLIAM B. CHANDLER III
CHANCELLOR

COURT OF CHANCERY COURTHOUSE
34 THE CIRCLE
GEORGETOWN, DELAWARE 19947

Submitted: March 31, 2009
Decided: April 2, 2009

Kathleen M. Miller
David A. Jenkins
Michele C. Gott
Smith, Katzenstein & Furlow LLP
800 Delaware Avenue, P.O. Box 410
Wilmington, DE 19899-0410

Elizabeth M. McGeever
J. Clayton Athey
Prickett Jones & Elliott, P.A.
1310 King Street
P.O. Box 1328
Wilmington, DE 19899

Alisa E. Moen
Thomas P. Preston
Steven L. Caponi
Blank Rome LLP
1201 N. Market Street, Suite 800
Wilmington, DE 19801

Judith M. Kinney
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
1220 N. Market Street, 5th Floor
P.O. Box 8888
Wilmington, DE 19899

Re: *London v. Tyrrell*
Civil Action No. 3321-CC

Dear Counsel:

I have reviewed and considered the papers filed by the Special Litigation Committee of the Board of MA Federal, Inc., d/b/a iGov (the "Committee" or "SLC") in support of its motion to stay discovery, along with the opposition submitted by Craig London and James Hunt. I am granting the motion to stay for 120 days from the time of this order, except for the electronic documents sought by plaintiffs.

On January 19, 2009, plaintiffs requested that defendants provide a proposed schedule for completing the document production. On January 21, 2009, defendants responded that they were "now in a position to begin rolling production of the electronic documents" and that they would propose a schedule for the completion of the production. On March 17, 2009, defendants proposed that the production of documents "proceed on a biweekly basis beginning next week, with all documents currently identified

in response to the pending document requests to be reviewed and produced within 90 days.” Defendants proposed this schedule “in light of the concurrent demands on the parties to make documents and individuals available to the Special Litigation Committee.” Moreover, defendants have postponed production of the electronic documents for long enough. Further delay would only prejudice plaintiffs. Thus, the stay for 120 days is appropriate to allow the SLC sufficient time to investigate the alleged complaint. In the meantime, however, plaintiffs are entitled to the electronic documents they requested.

For the foregoing reasons, SLC’s motion to stay is in part GRANTED, except for the electronic documents that plaintiffs’ requested.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "William B. Chandler III". The signature is written in black ink and includes a horizontal line under the "III" at the end.

William B. Chandler III

WBCIII:tet